CF 1002 — SINGLE BENCH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION(S) NO. 7281 /2006

PETITIONER : Bahura Bai D/o- Nandlal

Aged about 59 years, Gangman, Resident of Village-Lalbahadur nagar, Post-Chichola, Tehsil-Dongargarh, District- Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

P.R. No. 768 7281/06
Presented by Shri Anup Mujumdar
dated 26/12/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh
   Through-he Secretary,
   Public Works Department,
   D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Executive Engineer, National Highway Public Works Department, District-Raipur(C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
26/12/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(1) PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER :

(56)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. (S) No. 6004 of 2006

Petitioner : Smt. Resham Bai

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P. (S) No. 2732 of 2006

Petitioner : Smt. Phool Bai Ydav

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

W. P. (S) No. 7281 of 2006

Petitioner : Bahura Bai

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

And

W. P. (S) No. 7282 of 2006

Petitioner : Triveni Prasad

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

- SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Mr. F.S. Khare and Mr. Anoop Mazumdar, Advocates for the petitioners.

Mrs. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate for the respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 8th day of January, 2007)

The following order of the Court is passed by Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as learned Deputy Government Advocate appearing for the respondents/State jointly submit that the facts of the present case are similar to that

(27)

of in Writ Petition 108 of 2005 (Govind Vs. State of Chhattisgarh & others), which was disposed of vide order dated 4th day of January, 2007. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.

2. In view of the above stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, these petitions are allowed in terms of the order dated 4th day of January, 2007 passed by this Court in Writ Petition 108 of 2005. No order as to costs.

3. A copy of this order be placed on record in other connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu